

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

QUINTON DRUMMER, *et al.*,

**Plaintiff(s),**

v.  
ALPHA TEAM CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01251-RFB-NJK

## Order

(Docket No. 24)

Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 24. The plan effectively stays discovery pending the resolution of Defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' motion for conditional certification. *Id.* at 2-3. To the extent parties seeks a stay of discovery, they must file a motion seeking such relief that addresses the applicable standards. *See, e.g., Media Grp., Inc. v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013); *see also Tradebay, LLC v. Inc.*, 278 F.R.D. 597, 602 (D. Nev. 2011) (a partial stay of discovery may be appropriate if the underlying motion is potentially dispositive of the claims for which that subset of discovery would be sought). As such, unless and until such motion is filed and granted, discovery may proceed as to all issues, and the parties must diligently engage in discovery.

• • •

•

1

Accordingly, the discovery plan is **DENIED**. The parties must file a renewed joint proposed discovery plan and scheduling order, that complies in full with Local Rule 26-1, no later than November 9, 2018.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 8, 2018

NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge